

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED**

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

**IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT  
(Sacramento)**

----

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

DAVID MEGEROVICH KARAPETYAN,

Defendant and Appellant.

C081346

(Super. Ct. Nos.  
15F00312, 15F06153)

Appointed counsel for defendant David Megerovich Karapetyan asks this court to review the record to determine whether there are any arguable issues on appeal. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) Finding no arguable error that would result in a disposition more favorable to defendant, we affirm the judgment.

We provide the following brief description of the facts and procedural history of defendant's two cases. (See *People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 110, 124.)

***Case No. 15F00312***

In January 2015, defendant told his sister he would “fucking kill her.” In exchange for pleading no contest to criminal threats (Pen. Code, § 422), the trial court suspended imposition of sentence, ordered five years of formal probation, ordered defendant to serve 180 days in jail, and awarded 109 days of credit (55 actual, 54 conduct).

***Case No. 15F06153***

In September 2015, defendant burglarized an inhabited dwelling. He pleaded no contest to first degree residential burglary and admitted there was a person present. (Pen. Code, §§ 459, 667.5, subd. (c)(21).) He also admitted to a prior strike (his criminal threats conviction). In exchange, the trial court imposed the stipulated four-year state prison term (the low term, doubled for the strike), imposed various fines and fees, and awarded 128 days of credits (112 actual, 16 conduct). The court also reinstated and then terminated the probation imposed in his prior case.

Defendant filed a timely notice of appeal in both cases. While this appeal was pending, defendant successfully moved this court to construe his notices of appeal as an appeal from a sentence or other matter occurring after the plea. (Interim file)

We appointed counsel to represent defendant on appeal. Counsel filed an opening brief that sets forth the facts of the case and requests that we review the record and determine whether there are any arguable issues on appeal. (*People v. Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d 436.) Defendant was advised of his right to file a supplemental brief within 30 days of the date of filing of the opening brief. More than 30 days have elapsed, and we have received no communication from defendant.

Having examined the record, we find no arguable error that would result in a disposition more favorable to defendant.

## DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

\_\_\_\_\_BUTZ\_\_\_\_\_, J.

We concur:

\_\_\_\_\_BLEASE\_\_\_\_\_, Acting P. J.

\_\_\_\_\_MURRAY\_\_\_\_\_, J.